

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. State 150 Alekanisa, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/751,806	12/29/2000	Min Zhu	16440.4006 5229			
34313 7	590 05/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ORRICK, HE	ERRINGTON & SUTO	KANG, F	KANG, PAUL H			
IP PROSECUT	TION DEPARTMENT	L DELL'AND	DA DED AUMADED			
4 PARK PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1600			2141	2141		
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/751,806	ZHU ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Paul H. Kang	2141			

	Paul H. Kang	2141					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•						
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>			the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	• • •						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but the arguments pertain to newly added limitations not enter		n condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations require further consideration and search.

PAUL H. KANG

RIMARY PATENT EXAMINER